Response from Inspector to email of 10 May 2017 from Calverton Parish Council.

I invited any interested party to comment in order to ensure that anyone, including those who had not made representations before on this matter, could make representations to me on EX/104 and EX/105. This was done by putting the note on the Examination website with a link from the Latest News page. In addition, the note was sent directly to those who had submitted representations at the publication stage to Policy LPD 63 as a matter of courtesy.

With regards to his second point, EX/98 was prepared in response to comments made during the Hearing sessions relating to the clarity of the Council's decision making process in respect of the allocation of housing sites. EX/98 refers to the Council's 2 stage process which was used to determine whether a site 'could' be allocated and whether a site 'should' be allocated. The reasonable alternative sites were categorised by the Council as being considered:

- not suitable for allocation;
- suitable for allocation and then not allocated; or,
- suitable for allocation and then allocated.

EX/98 indicates where the reasons for each site being allocated or not can be found within the Site Selection Document (6/260, 6/667, 6/872, 6/13, 6/24, 6/12, 6/860, 6/671, 6/668, 6/767, 6/50, 6/49, 6/871, 6/18, 6/542, 6/48, 6/457, 6/873, 6/51, 6/25, 6/52, 6/458, 6/459, 6/455, 6/131, 6/466, 6/658, 6/462, 6/460, 6/484, 6/20, 6/27, 6/774, 6/686, 6/664, 6/289, 6/649, 6/661, 6/775, 6/662, 6/770, 6/921, 6/130, 6/544, 6/45, 6/665, 6/680, 6/47, 6/841, 6/86, 6/670, 6/536, 6/648, 6/39, 6/919, 6/920, 6/29, 6/469, 6/537, 6/539, 6/30, 6/672, 6/838, 6/839, 6/831, 6/538, 6/917, 6/535, 6/132, 6/586, 6/924, 6/840, 6/660, 6/776, 6/777, 6/196, 6/762, 6/826, 6/42, 6/827, 6/828, 6/43, 6/763, 6/835 and 6/44). For some sites the situation has changed since the publication draft and the reasons for their omission have been given (6/768). Other sites were below the threshold for allocation (6/497, 6/477, 6/874, 6/832, 6/636, 6/833, 6/876 and 6/875). In some instances, further information has been provided during the Examination process which supports the Council's reasoning that some sites could not be allocated and this has been noted (6/28, 6/588, 6/772, 6/834 and 6/587). Finally, in some cases where sites were considered unsuitable for allocation or considered suitable for allocation and then not allocated, the Council has sought to clarify its reasoning (A2, 6/778, North of Bestwood Lodge Drive, 6/540, 6/37, 6/36, 6/33, 6/35, 6/669, 6/845, 6/843, 22 Kighill Lane, 6/659, 6/923, 6/31, A1, Steeles Way/Orchard Rise and A3).

Given the nature of EX/98, which was produced for clarification purposes, I do not consider that it would be necessary to seek further comments from interested parties at this stage. Mr Northcote has requested that he be able to comment on this document on behalf of Calverton Parish Council and I have exceptionally allowed him to do this, as well as inviting Calverton Preservation and History Society to do so. I will of course have regard to any comments made by these organisations on this matter during the preparation of my Report.

I would be grateful if you could respond to Mr Northcote on the basis of the above.

Karen Baker 15 May 2015