

Matter 9: Housing Allocations in Other Villages

Issue 9a: Burton Joyce

- Q1. Has sufficient land been allocated for housing in Burton Joyce to meet local needs? [Policy LPD 68]
- Q2. Could sufficient small scale development to meet local needs in Burton Joyce have been found from infill development and small sites in the settlement?
- Q3. Is there sufficient provision of housing for young people and the elderly in Burton Joyce?

Issue 9b: Millfield Close (H20) [Policy LPD 68]

- Q4. Is the proposed allocation justified and appropriate in terms of the likely impacts of development?
- Q5. Is the proposed allocation deliverable? In particular, is it:
 - a. confirmed by the landowner involved as being available for the use proposed?
 - b. supported by evidence to demonstrate that safe and appropriate access for vehicles and pedestrians can be provided?
 - c. deliverable, having regard to the provision of the necessary infrastructure and services, and any environmental or other constraints?
- Q6. Have these matters been addressed through the planning application process, given that the site benefits from planning permission, subject to the signing of a Section 106 Agreement?

Issue 9c: Orchard Close (H21) [Policy LPD 68]

- Q6. Is the proposed allocation justified and appropriate in terms of the likely impacts of development?
- Q7. Is the proposed allocation deliverable? In particular, is it:
 - a. confirmed by the landowner involved as being available for the use proposed?
 - b. supported by evidence to demonstrate that safe and appropriate access for vehicles and pedestrians can be provided?
 - c. deliverable, having regard to the provision of the necessary infrastructure and services, and any environmental or other constraints?
- Q8. Should this site be extended to enable the construction of around 65 homes on an enlarged site?
- Q9. Has full consideration been given to the impact of the development of housing on this site on agricultural land?

- Q10. What are the exceptional circumstances which justify the removal of this site from the Green Belt?
- Q11. Has sufficient regard been had to flood risk?
- Q12. Has full consideration been given to unstable land?

Issue 9d: Newstead

- Q13. Is the level of development proposed in Newstead appropriate?
[Policy LPD 69]

Issue 9e: Station Road (H22) [Policy LPD 69]

- Q14. Is the proposed allocation justified and appropriate in terms of the likely impacts of development?
- Q15. Is the proposed allocation deliverable? In particular, is it:
- a. confirmed by the landowner involved as being available for the use proposed?
 - b. supported by evidence to demonstrate that safe and appropriate access for vehicles and pedestrians can be provided?
 - c. deliverable, having regard to the provision of the necessary infrastructure and services, and any environmental or other constraints?
- Q14. Why do the homes allocated on this site not count towards achieving the OAN for the Borough as set out in Policy LPD 63? Should the site be allocated in the Local Plan?

Issue 9f: Woodborough

- Q15. Is the level of residential development proposed in Woodborough appropriate? [Policy LPD 70]

Issue 9g: Ash Grove (H23) and Broad Close (H24) [Policy LPD 70]

- Q16. Are the proposed allocations justified and appropriate in terms of the likely impacts of development?
- Q17. Are the proposed allocations deliverable? In particular, are they:
- a. confirmed by the landowners involved as being available for the use proposed?
 - b. supported by evidence to demonstrate that safe and appropriate access for vehicles and pedestrians can be provided?
 - c. deliverable, having regard to the provision of the necessary infrastructure and services, and any environmental or other constraints?

Q18. In relation to Ash Grove (H23) have these matters been addressed through the planning application process, given that the site benefits from planning permission?