Site Selection Document

Appendix B – Bestwood Village





1.0 Number of Homes

1.1 Policy 2 of the Aligned Core Strategy (ACS) sets out that up to 560 new homes will provided in or adjacent to Bestwood Village. As set out in the Housing Background Paper, more homes than expected can be provided in and adjacent to the urban area. This means that it has been possible to reduce the total number of homes to be provided in Bestwood Village to 525 homes between 2011 and 2028. Table 1 is based on information in the Housing Background Paper and sets out the situation regarding housing numbers for Bestwood Village.

Table 1 - Housing Requirement Calcu	lations
Target	525
Completions (2011-2015)	52
Extant Planning Permissions (as of 31 st	255 ¹
March 2015)	
Sites below the threshold	7
Left to find	211

- 1.2 The sites being considered could deliver in the region of 810 homes (based on a density of 25 dwellings per hectare). As this is in excess of the 525 to be delivered in Bestwood Village decisions have been made about which of the sites should be allocated for development. Decisions have been made by comparing the sites across the whole range of factors identified on the Site Schedules. Additional weight has been given to brownfield land as required by paragraph 111 of the NPPF.
- 1.3 There are a number of non-Green Belt sites in and adjoining Bestwood Village which will be allocated where possible (in accordance with Policy 3.3 of the ACS). In order to amend Green Belt boundaries there need to be 'exceptional circumstances'. In considering this, account has been taken of the nature and extent of the harm to Green Belt from releasing sites.
- 1.4 Consideration has also been given to the need to designate safeguarded land to meet development needs beyond the plan period. Reference to the designation of any safeguarded land is included in the conclusions on sites, where appropriate.

2 Sites Considered

2.1 Table 2 sets out the Reasonable Alternative sites in and around Bestwood Village:

Table 2 – Rea	asonable Alternatives in and around Bestwood Village	
SHLAA	Site Name	Size (ha)
Reference		
6⁄484	The Sycamores	0.62
6⁄20	Bestwood Business Park	6.20
6⁄28	Broad Valley Farm	10.9

¹ This includes the extant planning permissions for The Sycamores (25 homes) and Bestwood Business Park (220 homes).

6/27 Westhouse Farm 25.6

- 2.2 A map of Bestwood Village showing these sites can be found below. The sites have been identified through the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and meet the criteria identified in paragraph 2.2 of the Main Report in terms of:
 - Location:
 - Size:
 - Planning Status; and
 - SHLAA Assessment.

These are sites which have the potential to be allocated and which have been assessed in more detail through this site selection process.

- 2.3 The Site Schedules located at the rear of this appendix use a variety of evidence documents to assess the sites and consider whether they could be allocated for development. Following assessment, a number of the sites are no longer considered suitable for allocation for residential development. This may be because the site is not suitable for development or for other reasons (such as the size of the site or the lack of certainty that it will be developed); in these cases it may be that the site is developed for housing or other purposes, even though it is not allocated in the Local Planning Document.
- 2.4 The sites below are those that are being considered further for allocation:
 - 6/484 The Sycamores;
 - 6/20 Bestwood Business Park;
 - 6/28 Broad Valley Farm; and
 - 6/27 Westhouse Farm.

3.0 Sites to be allocated

- 3.1 It is recommended that the following sites be allocated for residential development:
 - The Sycamore's 25 homes;
 - Westhouse Farm 210 homes; and
 - Bestwood Business Park 220 homes

Maps of the sites to be allocated and our conclusions on them are provided below. Discussion of the alternative options and why these have not been taken forward are also provided below.

The Sycamores

This comprises the whole of SHLAA site 6/484. The site has extant planning permission for 25 homes (2007/0887): potential constraints and infrastructure requirements were considered as part of the determination of the application. Although it is garden land it is located within the built up area of Bestwood Village and does not lead to the loss of Green Belt land; it is considered that the site should be allocated.

Westhouse Farm

- 3.3 This site is made up of part of SHLAA site 6/27. Part of the site to be allocated is safeguarded land and there is a resolution to grant planning permission for 101 homes (2014/0238) subject to a S106 agreement; potential constraints and infrastructure requirements were considered as part of the determination of the application. As the S106 agreement has, at the time of writing, not yet been signed it is not included in Table 2 as an extant planning permission. Allocation of this part of the site does not lead to the loss of Green Belt.
- 3.4 Adjacent to the site is the location of a proposed new primary school for which a planning application is currently being determined (2014/1343); this site will be removed from the Green Belt and allocated as part of the proposed housing allocation. Land to the east of the new primary school will also be removed from the Green Belt and allocated for housing. Land to the north will be removed from the Green Belt and designated as safeguarded land. There are considered to be exceptional circumstances due to the need to use defensible features to define Green Belts and the need to identify safeguarded land to meet long term development needs.

Bestwood Business Park

This site comprises the whole of 6/20. The site has planning permission for up to 220 homes (2014/0214); potential constraints and infrastructure requirements were considered as part of the determination of the application. The site is previously developed and forms part of the built up area of Bestwood Village; it does not lead to the


loss of Green Belt land. The site is to be allocated to ensure that the residential use of the site is protected in case the planning permission were to lapse.

Site or Options not being allocated

In comparison to the sites being allocated, Broad Valley Farm (6/28) is a wholly within the Green Belt and does not offer the opportunity to make use of non-Green Belt sites. Access is problematic in that 3rd party land would be needed. Broad Valley Farm would not relate well to the proposed new primary school and is not considered the most sustainable option for allocation at Bestwood Village.

4.0 Next Steps

4.1 The sites identified for allocation will be included in the Publication Draft of the Local Planning Document. This will be issued for a 6 week period so that local residents, landowners, developers, business, organisations and any other individual or group can make representations on whether they support or object to the sites proposed to be allocated; comments can include support for the allocation of other sites not proposed for allocation. Further details of the next steps can be found in Section 6 of the Main Report.



6/484	The Sycamo	res					
Size	0.62 ha		Numbe Dwellin	_	25 homes		
Brownfield or Greenfield	Part brownfield/	Part brownfield/greenfield on former garden land.					
SHLAA Conclusion	Deliverable This site has planning permission for residential development (2007/0887).						
Infrastructure	Utilities Emergency		Infrastructure requirements dealt with as part of the grant of planning permission. Ditto				
	Services Education	Ditto	Ditto				
	Health	Ditto	Ditto				
	Green Infrastructure	Ditto	Ditto				
	Community Facilities		Ditto				
	Other	Ditto					
Sustainability Appraisal			++		oding	-	
	Health		+	Was		-	
	Heritage and D	esign	-	Cha		0	
	Crime		0		nsport	+	
	Social Environment, I	Riodivoreity	+	-	oloyment ovation	0	
	and GI	Diodiversity			nomic Structure	0	
	Landscape Natural Resou	rces	0 +	ECO	nomic Structure	0	

Green Belt	0/20	Site is not within the Green Belt and therefore accords with ACS Policy 3.2.				
Compliance with the ACS	The site is located within the Key Settlement for Growth and is therefore consistent with Policy 2.3c(vi) of the ACS. Policy 3.3 of the ACS requires consideration of non-Green Belt sites before Green Belt sites. The principle of development of this site does not conflict with other policies in the ACS.					
Highways	_	Permission has been granted for this site. Access arrangements a considered and deemed acceptable.				
Historic Environment	Conservat developm	Minor impact on Historic Asset - A minor impact on the core of the Conservation Area as it is not a significant open space. There is scope for development to improve this site such that it makes a positive contribution to the Conservation Area.				
Consultation Response	local peop	erplanning exercise indicates that there was significant support from ole for developments within the settlement. Consultee concerns or about the site have been considered through the grant of planning n.				
Conclusion	sustainabl impact on	as planning permission for residential development. It is a le location within the village and part brownfield. The potential TPOs and the Conservation Area has already been considered le planning application process.				
	The site o	can be considered for allocation.				



6/20	Bestwood Business Park						
Size	6.01 ha		Numbe Dwellin	_	220 homes		
Brownfield or Greenfield	Brownfield – inc	Brownfield – includes former industrial and commercial land					
SHLAA Conclusion		The site has planning permission (2014/0214) for residential development and is deliverable.					
Infrastructure	Utilities	Infrastructure planning pern	•	nents	dealt with through the grar	nt of	
	Emergency Services	Ditto	Ditto				
	Education	Ditto					
	Health	Ditto	Ditto				
	Green Infrastructure	Ditto					
	Community Facilities	Ditto					
	Other	Ditto					
Sustainability Appraisal	Housing		++	Floo	oding		
	Health		+	Was	te	-	
	Heritage and D	esign	0	Cha		0	
	Crime		0		nsport	+	
	Social	Diadise == !ts:	+	_	ployment		
	Environment, I	sioaiversity	-		ovation	0	
	Landscape Natural Resou	rcas	0	ECO	nomic Structure		
	14aturai 11650u	1003	++				

Green Belt	0/20	Site is not within the Green Belt and therefore accords with ACS Policy 3.2.				
Compliance with the ACS	employme sites to ca existing er change of	Site is within the key Settlement of Bestwood. The site is currently employment land and ACS Policy 4 seeks to manage existing employment sites to cater for the full range of employment uses by retaining good quality existing employment sites. The site was granted planning permission for the change of use from employment to residential use as the employment site was not considered to be attractive to the market.				
Highways	_	Permission has been granted for this site. Access arrangements a considered and deemed acceptable.				
Historic Environment		- it is considered that the site has no impact upon the significance of issets (including their setting).				
Consultation Response	purposes.	n reports significant support for reusing this site for residential Consultee concerns or comments about the site have been distributed through the grant of planning permission.				
Conclusion	employme but the los planning a site had b and was r the settler	as planning permission for residential uses. It is a protected ent site as identified in the Gedling Borough Replacement Local Planes of employment land and jobs was considered through the application process where the Borough Council was satisfied that the een actively marketed for employment purposes without success to longer economically viable. This site is a brownfield site within ment in a sustainable location.				



6/28	Broad Valley Farm						
Size	10.9 ha	Numbe Dwellin	_	347 homes			
Brownfield or Greenfield	Greenfield.	Greenfield.					
SHLAA Conclusion	settlement prop	May be suitable subject to policy change This site is adjacent to a settlement proposed as a 'Key Settlement for Growth' in the ACS. Site is within the Green Belt.					
Infrastructure	Utilities	No requireme	No requirements identified.				
	Emergency Services	No requireme	ents ident	tified.			
	Education	generated. E considers that in Bestwood	73 primary and 56 secondary school places would be generated. Estimated total cost £1,802,800. The LEA considers that the cumulative impact of development sites in Bestwood will need to be considered as the existing school is at capacity				
	Health		Contribution to primary health care likely based on multiplier of £480 per dwelling.				
	Green Infrastructure	Open space 10% of site area (1.09 ha).					
	Community Facilities	No requirements identified.					
	Other	No requireme	No requirements identified.				
Sustainability Appraisal	Housing		++	Floo	oding		
	Health	+ Waste -				-	
	Heritage and D	Design Energy and Climate Change				0	
	Crime	0 Transport +				+	
	Social	D' - 1' '4	+	_	ployment	0	
	Environment, I	Biodiversity	-		ovation	0	
	Landscape Natural Resou	rces	Economic Structure 0				
	- Indicator in Cooking						

Green Belt	The site has little development and would form a long limb into the countryside but would not lead to coalescence. The proximity to the Conservation Area and headstocks mean there will be a moderate impact on historic character.				
Compliance with the ACS	The site is located adjacent to a Key Settlement for Growth and is therefore consistent with Policy 2.3c(vi) of the ACS. The site is located within the Green Belt; Policy 3.3 of the ACS requires consideration of non-Green Belt sites before Green Belt sites. The principle of development of this site does not conflict with other policies in the ACS.				
Highways	Two points of access required with one from Park Lane and the other connecting through to the B683 Moor Road which would be accessed through 3rd party land. Footway provision would also be required along Park lane from Broad Valley Drive to enable pedestrians to access the development on foot. The design of highways will also need to be designed to accommodate an extension to the bus route.				
Historic Environment	Major impact on Historic Asset - The development of the southern part of the site would have a significant impact on the Listed Building (Bestwood Pumping Station Grade II) and Scheduled Ancient Monument (former Colliery Winding House and headstocks) and to a lesser extent the Conservation Area. The removal of the southern part of the site would greatly reduce the impact of development.				
Consultation Response	The masterplanning work noted the lack of support for this location (north east of the settlement) by local residents. Objections to this location included potential impact on ridgelines, landscape quality, Green Belt access and the impact on heritage of the settlement and Bestwood Country Park.				
Conclusion	The site would form a long limb of development into the countryside and parts would be somewhat isolated from the settlement. A smaller allocation to the south of the site would be unacceptable in terms of impact on the listed building and Scheduled Monument. Access is problematic as two points of access required including to Moor Road which would require 3 rd party land. The site can be considered for allocation.				



6/27	Westhouse Farm						
Size			Numbe Dwellin		500 homes		
Brownfield or Greenfield	Greenfield	Greenfield					
SHLAA Conclusion	May be suitable subject to Policy change. Part of the site is safeguarded land (a planning application has been approved and awaiting S106 sign off). This site is adjacent to a settlement proposed as a 'Key Settlement for Growth' in the ACS. Number of houses reduced to reflect 6/26 which has planning permission and awaiting S106 sign off.						
Infrastructure	Utilities	No requireme	No requirements identified.				
	Emergency Services	No requireme	No requirements identified.				
	Education	105 primary and 80 secondary school places would be generated. Estimated total cost £2,583,600. The LEA considers that the cumulative impact of development sites in Bestwood will need to be considered as the existing school is at capacity					
	Health	Contribution to primary health care likely based on multiplier of £551 per dwelling estimate £275,500.					
	Green Infrastructure	Open space 10% of site area (2.56 ha).					
	Community Facilities	No requirements identified.					
	Other	No requireme	nts iden	tified.			
Sustainability Appraisal			++	Floo	oding	0	
	Health	+ Waste				-	
	Heritage and D	Design Energy and Climate Change				0	
	Crime		0		nsport	+	
	Social		+		oloyment	0	
	Environment, I and GI	Biodiversity	-		ovation	0	
	Landscape Natural Resou	rces	0	Eco	nomic Structure	0	
	Matural Nesou	1 UC3	es -				

te 2 – 12/20 te 3 – 10/20
e site is located adjacent to a Key Settlement for Growth and is therefore insistent with Policy 2.3c (vi) of the ACS provided the adjoining safeguarded and is allocated. The site is located within the Green Belt; Policy 3.3 of the CS requires consideration of non-Green Belt sites before Green Belt sites. The principle of development of this site does not conflict with other policies in exacs.
yo points of on the B683 with connections also to Keepers Close and Lean ose. Satisfactory access can be provided from two points on Moor Road. A place of tway would be required along Moor Road frontage. The location of a new hool would require pedestrian only link via Keepers Close. Consideration ould be given to provide linkages with the NET network in Hucknall.
o effect - The site is visually not imposing on heritage asset because of isting development between the site and the asset.
e Issues and Options consultation document and the masterplanning ercise found that land to the north west of the settlement was the preferred ration of local people if greenfield land is required. Opponents of the site ferred to impact on the Green Belt, loss of agricultural land and increased ffic on Moor Road.
e site includes a mix of safeguarded and Green Belt land and adjoins the can edge of Bestwood Village. North west of the settlement was commended for development along with Bestwood Business Park (6/20) ough the masterplanning process. While parts of the site have some een Belt value, development in this location would not have landscape or ritage impacts. The site can be considered for allocation.



