

# **Sustainability Appraisal Publication Draft**

## **Appendix G: Appraisal of Development Management Policies**

Local Planning Document  
May 2016



# Introduction

Appendix G provides the full detailed findings of the SA assessment of the 61 development management policies contained in Part A of the Local Planning Document. The recommendations and the outcome of the recommendations are also provided.

The SA Framework used in the SA assessment is included in **Appendix A**.

## Contents

Climate Change, Flood Risk and Water Management	3
Environmental Protection	9
Green Belt	14
Natural Environment	20
Open Space and Recreational Facilities	24
Historic Environment	31
Design	36
Homes	42
Employment	49
Retail and Community Facilities	54
Transport	61

# Climate Change, Flood Risk and Water Management

- Policy LPD1: Wind Turbines
- Policy LPD2: Other Renewable Energy Schemes
- Policy LPD3: Managing Flood Risk
- Policy LPD4: Surface Water Management
- Policy LPD5: Managing Water Quality
- Policy LPD6: Aquifer Protection

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD1	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Whilst siting of wind turbines may mean the potential to develop housing on those sites could be restricted in the longer term, this is difficult to assess and unlikely to cause any particular impact. For Policy LPD2, the impact is dependent on the specific size and nature of the energy schemes. Renewable energy schemes can be worked up to provide renewable energy as part of residential schemes and may make homes more affordable through lower running costs. Thus both policies LPD1 and LPD2 score neutral. Restricting the supply of land for housing could reduce housing supply, thus a minor negative score for Policies LPD3 and LPD4. It is considered that the remainder of the policies LPD5 and LPD6 would have a neutral impact on housing supply.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing, with the exception of Policies LPD3 and LPD4 which they score a minor negative. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD3 and LPD4 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD2	0		
	LPD3	-		
	LPD4	-		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
2. Health	LPD1	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not improve access to health services or increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health.</p>
	LPD2	0		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
3. Heritage and Design	LPD1	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD1 and LPD2 include a criterion for the protection of the historic environment. The policies allow proposals for wind turbines and renewable energy schemes to be granted planning permission where they are acceptable in terms of the historic environment. Thus they score a minor positive. Policies LPD3 to LPD6 relates to water management which would not impact on heritage and local character.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design, with the exception of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 which they score a minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD2	+		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
4. Crime	LPD1	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime.</p>
	LPD2	0		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
5. Social	LPD1	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on existing cultural assets and would not improve access to community activities.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues.</p>
	LPD2	0		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD1	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD1 and LPD2 allow proposals for wind turbines and renewable energy schemes to be granted planning permission where they are acceptable in terms of ecology and biodiversity. These schemes are most likely to be in rural areas. Policy LPD4 allows measures as such Sustainable</p>
	LPD2	?		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	+		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD5	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Drainage Systems which would help biodiversity. Policy LPD5 would have an effect on biodiversity and environment, thus this scores a major positive. Policies LPD3 and LPD6 relates to water management which would not impact on the natural environment.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment. The effect of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 is uncertain as it depends on the proposals. The effect of Policies LPD4 and LPD5 is positive and it is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD6	0		
7. Landscape	LPD1	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD1 and LPD2 allow proposals for wind turbines and renewable energy schemes to be granted planning permission where they are acceptable in terms of landscape and visual effects. These schemes are most likely to be in rural areas. Policies LPD3 to LPD6 relates to water management which would not impact on the landscape and visual amenity.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape, with the exception of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 where the effect is uncertain as it depends on the proposals.</p>
	LPD2	?		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
8. Natural Resources	LPD1	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>While policies LPD1 and LPD2 (wind turbines and renewable energy schemes) would help to prevent an increase in air pollution, the impact depends on the design, layout and location of the wind turbines and renewable energy schemes as they could have some impact on natural resources such as loss of greenfield land to development, thus they score a minor positive. Policy LPD3 relates to flood risk so this scores a neutral. Policy LPD4 helps to conserve water through Sustainable Drainage Systems. Policies LPD5 and LPD6 would help to prevent pollution to water quality and contamination in groundwater.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural resources. It is considered the effect would be long term and</p>
	LPD2	+		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	+		
	LPD5	++		
	LPD6	++		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				permanent.
9. Flooding	LPD1	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD1 and LPD2 relate to wind turbines and renewable energy schemes so they score a neutral. Policies LPD3 and LPD4 meet the SA objective – they would minimise flood risk. Policies LPD5 and LPD6 relate to water quality and contamination in groundwater so they score a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding, with the exception of Policies LPD3 and LPD4 which they score a major positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD3 and LPD4 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD2	0		
	LPD3	++		
	LPD4	++		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
10. Waste	LPD1	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste recovery.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on waste.</p>
	LPD2	0		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD1	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD1 and LPD2 meet the SA objective – they would support the generation and use of renewable energy because they relate to wind turbines and renewable energy schemes. Policies LPD3 to LPD6 relate to water management so they score a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change, with the exception of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 which they score a major positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD2	++		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
12. Transport	LPD1	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>Long term</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD1 relates to wind turbine which would not require a volume of traffic so this scores a neutral. Policy LPD2 refers to proposals granted planning permission where they are acceptable in terms of vehicular access and traffic. Policies LPD3 to LPD6 relates to water management so they score a neutral.</p>
	LPD2	0		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport.
13. Employment	LPD1	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>Short term</li> <li>Temporary</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD1 and LPD2 (wind turbines and renewable energy schemes) would increase the diversity and quality of jobs depending on the schemes. These jobs are likely to be short and temporary for the construction of the schemes. Policies LPD3 to LPD6 relates to water management so they score a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment, with the exception of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 which they score a minor positive. As explained above, it is considered the effect of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 would be short term and temporary.</p>
	LPD2	++		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
14. Innovation	LPD1	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>Short term</li> <li>Temporary</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD1 and LPD2 (wind turbines and renewable energy schemes) would increase the qualification and types of job depending on the schemes. These jobs are likely to be short and temporary for the construction of the schemes. Policies LPD3 to LPD6 relates to water management so they score a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation, with the exception of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 where they score a major positive. As explained above, it is considered the effect of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 would be short term and temporary.</p>
	LPD2	++		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		
15. Economic Structure	LPD1	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD1 and LPD2 (wind turbines and renewable energy schemes) relate to new technologies which are rapidly changing and would provide the required infrastructure to generate renewable energy. Policies LPD3 to LPD6 relates to water management so they score a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure, with the exception of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 which they score a major positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD1 and LPD2</p>
	LPD2	++		
	LPD3	0		
	LPD4	0		
	LPD5	0		
	LPD6	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				would be long term and permanent.
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None.</li> </ul>				
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No change.</li> </ul>				



# Environmental Protection

- Policy LPD7 Contaminated Land
- Policy LPD8 Unstable Land
- Policy LPD9 Hazardous Substances
- Policy LPD10 Pollution
- Policy LPD11 Air Quality

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD7	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD7 to LPD9 could restrict the supply of land for housing, thus score a minor negative. It is considered that Policies LPD10 and LPD11 would have no impact on housing supply.</p> <p>Overall, there is a negative effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing. Depending on the condition of the sites or the proposals, it is considered the effect of Policies LPD7 to LPD9 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD8	-		
	LPD9	-		
	LPD10	0		
	LPD11	0		
2. Health	LPD7	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies do not improve access to health services or increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity. It is considered that Policy LPD11 can have beneficial effect on health inequalities and benefits to physical activity (active travel).</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health, with the exception of Policy LPD11 which scores a major positive. It is considered the effect of Policy LPD11 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	0		
	LPD10	0		
	LPD11	++		
3. Heritage and Design	LPD7	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD10 allows proposals which would not result in unacceptable harm to the historic environment, thus this scores a minor positive. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on heritage and local character.</p>
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	0		
	LPD10	+		
	LPD11	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design, with the exception of Policy LPD10 which scores a minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policy LPD10 would be long term and permanent.
4. Crime	LPD7	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime.</p>
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	0		
	LPD10	0		
	LPD11	0		
5. Social	LPD7	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on existing cultural assets and would not improve access to community activities.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues.</p>
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	0		
	LPD10	0		
	LPD11	0		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD7	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Colwick Industrial Estate</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD7 requires remediation of a site which could result in wildlife-rich sites being destroyed, although this is normally a precursor to some other development. Thus this policy scores an uncertain effect as it depends on particular circumstances. Policy LPD9 (hazardous substances) precludes development involving hazardous substances if it would result in the natural environment being put to unacceptable risk. Policy LPD10 restricts polluting development so enhances the natural environment. Policy LPD8 relates to unstable land and Policy LPD11 relates to air quality so they have no direct impact on the natural environment, thus they score a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment. The effect of Policy LPD7 is uncertain as it depends on particular circumstances. The effect of Policies LPD9 and LPD10 is positive and it is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	++		
	LPD10	+		
	LPD11	0		
7. Landscape	LPD7	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> </ul>	Policy LPD10 ensures development avoids unacceptable harm to the character of the landscape. It is considered that the remainder of the policies
	LPD8	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD9	0	/ rural countryside • Long term • Permanent	would not cause a significant direct impact on the landscape or visual amenity.
	LPD10	++		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape, with the exception of Policy LPD10 which scores a major positive. It is considered the effect of LPD10 would be long term and permanent.
	LPD11	0		
8. Natural Resources	LPD7	++	• Borough wide / Colwick Industrial Estate • Long term • Permanent	Policy LPD7 relates to land already contaminated so would result in an improvement, thus this scores a major positive. Policy LPD8 relates to unstable land so this scores a neutral. Policy LPD9 (hazardous substances) precludes development involving hazardous substances if it would result in the natural resources being put to unacceptable risk. Policy LPD10 ensures development avoids unacceptable harm to the natural environment. Policy LPD11 relates to air quality mainly caused by 'tail-pipe' emissions from vehicles so this scores a major positive.  Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural resources. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	++		
	LPD10	++		
	LPD11	++		
9. Flooding	LPD7	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on flooding.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding.
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	0		
	LPD10	0		
	LPD11	0		
10. Waste	LPD7	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste recovery.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the waste.
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	0		
	LPD10	0		
	LPD11	0		
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD7	0	• Borough wide	Policies LPD10 (pollution) and LPD11 (air quality) would encourage renewable energy and reduce climate change. It is considered that the
	LPD8	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD9	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on energy and climate change.
	LPD10	+		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change, with the exception of Policies LPD10 and LPD11 which score a major positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD1 and LPD2 would be long term and permanent.
	LPD11	+		
12. Transport	LPD7	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD11 relates to air quality mainly caused by 'tail-pipe' emissions from vehicles and encourages alternative modes of transport. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on existing transport infrastructure or encourage alternative modes of transport that minimises the impact on the environment.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport, with the exception of Policy LPD11 where it scores a major positive. It is considered the effect of Policy LPD11 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	0		
	LPD10	0		
	LPD11	+		
13. Employment	LPD7	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD7 and LPD8 restrict the availability of land for employment uses and score a minor negative. Policies LPD9 to LPD11 could possibly restrict some employment uses that generate high pollution level, thus they score a minor negative.</p> <p>Overall, there is a minor negative effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment. Depending on the locations and details of proposals, it is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD8	-		
	LPD9	-		
	LPD10	-		
	LPD11	-		
14. Innovation	LPD7	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on qualification or type of jobs.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation.</p>
	LPD8	0		
	LPD9	0		
	LPD10	0		
	LPD11	0		
15. Economic	LPD7	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> </ul>	Policies LPD7 and LPD8 restrict the availability of land for employment uses

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
Structure	LPD8	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Long term</li><li>• Permanent</li></ul>	and score a minor negative. Policies LPD9 to LPD11 could possibly restrict some employment uses that generate high pollution level, thus they score a minor negative.  Overall, there is a minor negative effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure. Depending on the locations and details of proposals, it is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.
	LPD9	-		
	LPD10	-		
	LPD11	-		
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None.</li></ul>				
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No change.</li></ul>				

# Green Belt

- Policy LPD12: Reuse of Buildings within the Green Belt
- Policy LPD13: Extensions to Buildings within the Green Belt
- Policy LPD14: Replacement of Buildings within the Green Belt
- Policy LPD15: Infill Development within the Green Belt
- Policy LPD16: Safeguarded Land
- Policy LPD17: Homes for Rural Workers

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD12	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Green Belt / safeguarded land</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>There is reference to the re-use of buildings for residential purposes, in particular for rural workers, in part (b) of Policy LPD12. Policy LPD17 provides homes for rural workers and ensures the property is occupied by rural workers. Thus both policies LPD12 and LPD17 score a minor positive. For Policies LPD13 and LPD14, extensions to buildings and the replacement of buildings within the Green Belt help existing stock meet housing needs. Policy LPD15 allows for infill development which could include the provision of new housing. Thus they all score minor positive. Policy LPD16 relates to safeguarded land between built up areas and the Green Belt that is protected from development in the short to medium term in order to meet development needs beyond the plan period so there would be no impact on current supply of housing. This scores a neutral as it is assumed that houses would be constructed on safeguarded land until after the plan period (i.e. 2028).</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD13	+		
	LPD14	+		
	LPD15	+		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	+		
2. Health	LPD12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not improve access to health services or increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health.</p>
	LPD13	0		
	LPD14	0		
	LPD15	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	0		
3. Heritage and Design	LPD12	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD12 (b) (ii) refers to heritage assets and Policy LPD12 (b) (iv) refers to design so Policy LPD12 scores a minor positive. Policies LPD13 and LPD14 relating to extensions to buildings and the replacement of buildings within the Green Belt refers to conserving any historic significance the building may have. Policy LPD15 relating to infill development within the Green Belt refers the need for development to be in keeping with surrounding character.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD13	++		
	LPD14	++		
	LPD15	++		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	0		
4. Crime	LPD12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rural homes in Green Belt</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime, except for the policy on rural workers because this would reduce crime on site.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime, with the exception of Policy LPD17 which scores a minor positive.</p>
	LPD13	0		
	LPD14	0		
	LPD15	0		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	+		
5. Social	LPD12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on existing cultural assets and would not improve access to community activities.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues.</p>
	LPD13	0		
	LPD14	0		
	LPD15	0		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	0		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For the re-use or replacement of buildings within the Green Belt (Policies LPD12 and LPD14), it is considered there would be no loss of biodiversity or increase in Green Infrastructure. For extensions to buildings, infill development and homes for rural workers within the Green Belt (Policies</p>
	LPD13	?		
	LPD14	0		
	LPD15	?		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD16	0		LPD13, LPD15 and LPD17), it depends on the location of the proposals and it is considered that the extensions would take place within the residential gardens which may include trees, habitats or land that contribute to the Green Infrastructure.  Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment. The effect of Policies LPD13, LPD15 and LPD17 is uncertain as it depends on the proposals. The effect of the remainder of the policies is neutral.
	LPD17	?		
7. Landscape	LPD12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For the re-use of buildings within the Green Belt (Policy LPD12), it is considered there would be no impact on the landscape or visual amenity. Policies LPD13, LPD14 and LPD15 require proposals to “not adversely affect valuable views” into or out of a site or village. For homes for rural workers within the Green Belt (Policy LPD17), it depends on the design and location of the proposals.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape, with the exception of Policy LPD17 which the effect is uncertain. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD13 to LPD15 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD13	+		
	LPD14	+		
	LPD15	+		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	?		
8. Natural Resources	LPD12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered there is minimal impact on the natural resources for the re-use of buildings thus Policy LPD12 scores a neutral. However there would be a loss of greenfield land for extensions of buildings (Policy LPD13) and rural workers homes (Policy LPD17). For replacements of buildings (Policy LPD14) and infill development (Policy LPD15) it depends on the location of the proposals as it could be greenfield or brownfield land.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural resources. The effect of Policies LPD14 and LPD15 is uncertain depending on the proposals. The effect of Policies LPD13 and LPD17 is a</p>
	LPD13	-		
	LPD14	?		
	LPD15	?		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	-		



SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				minor negative due to the long term and permanent loss of small amount of greenfield land.
9. Flooding	LPD12	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies should have no significant impact on flooding.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding.
	LPD13	0		
	LPD14	0		
	LPD15	0		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	0		
10. Waste	LPD12	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the waste.
	LPD13	0		
	LPD14	0		
	LPD15	0		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	0		
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD12	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on energy and climate change.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change.
	LPD13	0		
	LPD14	0		
	LPD15	0		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	0		
12. Transport	LPD12	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Green Belt</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>The re-use of buildings within the Green Belt (Policy LPD12) could allow rural workers to live at their place of work. For Policy LPD15 it is uncertain what the impact would be as it depends on the type and the location of the proposals. For Policy LPD17, rural workers living at their homes are usually isolated with little or no public transport network so they would need car use to get to places. Overall this scores a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport, with the exception of Policies LPD12 and LPD15 which score a</p>
	LPD13	0		
	LPD14	0		
	LPD15	?		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				minor positive and uncertain effect respectively.
13. Employment	LPD12	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Short / medium / long term</li> <li>Temporary/ permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For Policy LPD12, the re-use of buildings for employment use could generate employment opportunities but it could also mean change of use (re-use of buildings) from employment use to residential use. Policy LPD13 allows extensions of existing employment buildings and Policy LPD14 allows existing employment buildings to be 50% larger and thus possibly creating further employment opportunities. For Policy LPD15, it is unlikely that new development would be for employment uses. Also having a specific policy (LPD17) on homes for rural workers could possibly provide further employment opportunities for rural workers. The jobs could be short, medium or long term as well as temporary or permanent.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment. Policies LPD12 and LPD15 score uncertain as it depends on the proposals. Policies LPD13, LPD14 and LPD17 score positive and, as explained above, the new jobs could be from short to long term as well as temporary to permanent.</p>
	LPD13	+		
	LPD14	+		
	LPD15	?		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	+		
14. Innovation	LPD12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Short / medium / long term</li> <li>Temporary/ permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Having a specific policy (LPD17) on homes for rural workers could encourage graduates or young farm workers to live and work within the area. This effect could be short, medium or long term as well as temporary or permanent. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no impact.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation, with the exception of Policy LPD17 which scores a major positive.</p>
	LPD13	0		
	LPD14	0		
	LPD15	0		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	++		
15. Economic Structure	LPD12	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Green Belt</li> <li>Short / medium / long term</li> <li>Temporary/ permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For Policy LPD12, the re-use of buildings for employment use could generate employment opportunities but it could also mean change of use (re-use of buildings) from employment use to residential use. Policy LPD13 allows extensions of existing employment buildings and Policy LPD14 allows existing employment buildings to be 50% larger. For Policy LPD15, it is unlikely that new development would be for employment uses. Also having a specific</p>
	LPD13	+		
	LPD14	+		
	LPD15	?		
	LPD16	0		
	LPD17	+		

SA Objectives	Score	Assessment of effect	Commentary
			<p>policy (LPD17) on homes for rural workers provides specific buildings for rural workers. The land and buildings for employment uses could be short, medium or long term as well as temporary or permanent.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure. Policies LPD12 and LPD15 score uncertain as it depends on the proposals. Policies LPD13, LPD14 and LPD17 score positive and, as explained above, the new land and buildings could be from short to long term as well as temporary to permanent.</p>
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consider adding criteria in Policies LPD13, LPD15 and LPD17 to reduce impacts on biodiversity (SA 6 Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure).</li> <li>Consider adding criteria in Policy LPD17 to reduce impacts on landscape character and landscape visual (SA 7 Landscape).</li> </ul>			
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No change to Policies LPD13, LPD15 and LPD17 as the impacts on biodiversity are covered by a separate Policy LPD18: Protecting and Enhancing Biodiversity.</li> <li>No change to Policy LPD17 as the impacts on landscape character and landscape visual are covered by a separate Policy LPD19: Landscape Character and Visual Impact.</li> </ul>			

# Natural Environment

- Policy LPD18: Protecting and Enhancing Biodiversity
- Policy LPD19: Landscape Character and Visual Impact

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD18	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	It is considered that the policies would restrict the supply of land for new housing.
	LPD19	-		Overall, there is a minor negative effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.
2. Health	LPD18	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	A number of sites for nature conservation (such as Local Nature Reserves and some Local Wildlife Sites) are accessible and provide opportunities for recreational physical activity. The effect varies depending on the sites. Policy LPD19 relates to the landscape character and visual impact but does not in itself allow for recreational uses so this scores a neutral.
	LPD19	0		Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health.
3. Heritage and Design	LPD18	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide / rural countryside</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	Both policies would maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness. Access to sites for nature conservation such as Local Nature Reserves, some Local Wildlife Sites and ancient woodland could provide better opportunities for people to understand local heritage and participate in cultural activities.
	LPD19	+		Overall, there is a minor positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.
4. Crime	LPD18	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect</li> </ul>	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime.
	LPD19	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime.
5. Social	LPD18	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>There are groups such as Friends of Local Nature Reserves that bring community together. The effect varies depending on the sites and its associated groups. Policy LPD19 relates to the landscape character and visual impact so this scores a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues.</p>
	LPD19	0		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD18	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Both policies meet the SA objective as they protect the natural environment.</p> <p>Overall, there is a major positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD19	++		
7. Landscape	LPD18	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD18 does not include specific reference to landscape and visual amenity. Policy 19 meets the SA objective as it protects landscape and visual amenity.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape. It is considered the effect of Policy LPD19 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD19	++		
8. Natural Resources	LPD18	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Both policies meet the SA objective as they protect some water habitats, lagoon sites and natural resources. Also they prevent loss of greenfield sites.</p> <p>Overall, there is a major positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural resources. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD19	++		
9. Flooding	LPD18	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Both policies meet the SA objective as they restrict development on greenfield land.</p> <p>Overall, there is a major positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on</p>
	LPD19	++		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				flooding. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.
10. Waste	LPD18	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste recovery.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the waste.
	LPD19	0		
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD18	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no direct impact on energy and climate change.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change.
	LPD19	0		
12. Transport	LPD18	0	• No effect	For Policy LPD18, some sites have public access. However the impact is considered to be minimal and thus this scores a neutral.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport.
	LPD19	0		
13. Employment	LPD18	0	• No effect	For Policy LPD18, some sites for nature conservation could provide employment opportunities. However the impact is considered to be minimal and thus this scores a neutral.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment.
	LPD19	0		
14. Innovation	LPD18	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on qualification or type of jobs.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation.
	LPD19	0		
15. Economic Structure	LPD18	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on land available for development or infrastructure.
	LPD19	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure.
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None.</li> </ul>				
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change.</li> </ul>				

## Open Space and Recreational Facilities

- Policy LPD20: Protection of Open Space
- Policy LPD21: Provision of New Open Space
- Policy LPD22: Local Green Space
- Policy LPD23: Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park
- Policy LPD24: Tourist Accommodation
- Policy LPD25: Equestrian Development

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD20	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that Policy LPD21 would restrict the supply of land for new housing development. It is considered the remainder of the policies would not increase housing supply. Although the definition is not clear what is meant by “very special circumstances”, this is unlikely to have any particular impact on housing delivery so this scores neutral for Policy LPD22. Policy LPD23 relates to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park so this scores a neutral. For clarification, tourist accommodation is not C3 dwelling homes thus the score is zero for Policy LPD24.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing, with the exception of Policy LPD21 which scores a minor negative. It is considered the effect of Policy LPD21 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD21	-		
	LPD22	0		
	LPD23	0		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	0		
2. Health	LPD20	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide / Greenwood Community Forest / Sherwood Regional Forest</li> </ul>	<p>For Policies LPD20, LPD21 and LPD22, existing open space or Local Green Space would be protected and any new open space provided as part of new large residential development would also be protected. Policy LPD23 relates to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park and could provide for recreational use, so it scores a positive impact. For Policy LPD24, tourist accommodation could be connected to recreational physical activity but there is no direct link so this</p>
	LPD21	++		
	LPD22	++		
	LPD23	+		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	++		



SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>scores a neutral. Policy LPD25 relates to equestrian activities which are rural tourism and leisure development thus this scores a major positive.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health. Depending on the proposals, it is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
3. Heritage and Design	LPD20	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Greenwood Community Forest / Sherwood Regional Forest</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD20 protects open space and its local character and distinctiveness. Any new open space provided under Policy LPD21 would enhance the new, if not local, character and distinctiveness. Local Green Space sites have special importance to the local communities and they are protected under Policy LPD22. As Policy LPD23 relates to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park, any proposals related to the Forest could enhance and strengthen the characteristics as well as improve the access and enjoyment of the historic environment. Thus this scores a major positive. For Policies LPD24 and LPD25, it would depend on the design and location of the proposals. As there are other policies to protect heritage assets it is considered that the impact would be minimal.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design. Depending on the proposals, it is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD21	++		
	LPD22	++		
	LPD23	+		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	0		
4. Crime	LPD20	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Short / long term</li> <li>Temporary / permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For Policies LPD20 to LPD22, existing open space and Local Green Space or new open space could provide diversionary activities to crime. However they could also attract anti-social behaviour. Policy LPD23 relates to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park so this scores a neutral. It is considered that Policies LPD24 and LPD25 would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime.</p>
	LPD21	?		
	LPD22	?		
	LPD23	0		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				The effect of Policies LPD20 to LPD22 is uncertain as it depends on how open space is used.
5. Social	LPD20	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Some existing open space, Local Green Space and new open space provision could protect and enhance existing cultural assets and improve access to and encourage community activities and improve ethnic and intergenerational relations. As Policy LPD23 relates to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park, any proposals related to the Forest could protect and enhance existing cultural assets as well as improving access to and encouraging community activities within the Forest. Thus this scores a minor positive. It is considered that the remainder of the policies (i.e. Policies LPD24 and LPD25) would have no significant impact on existing cultural assets.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD21	++		
	LPD22	++		
	LPD23	+		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	0		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD20	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Greenwood Community Forest / Sherwood Regional Forest</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Protection of existing open space and creation of new open space scores a major positive. Although there are a small number of areas designated as Local Green Space, the protection of these areas scores a major positive. As Policy LPD23 relates to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park, this score a major positive because the policy could help to protect and improve biodiversity or habitats, maintain and enhance woodland cover and management and encourage and protect Green Infrastructure opportunities. For Policies LPD24 and LPD25, it would depend on the type of tourist accommodation or equestrian business as well as the design and location of the proposals. As there are other policies to protect natural environment it is considered that the impact would be minimal.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment. It is considered the effect would be long term and</p>
	LPD21	++		
	LPD22	++		
	LPD23	++		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				permanent.
7. Landscape	LPD20	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Greenwood Community Forest / Sherwood Regional Forest</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Protection of existing open space/Local Green Space and creation of new open space scores a minor positive because they contribute to landscape and visual amenity. As Policy LPD23 relates to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park, this scores a minor positive because the policy could help to respect identified landscape character and have a positive impact on visual amenity. For Policies LPD24 and LPD25, it would depend on the type of tourist accommodation or equestrian business as well as the design and location of the proposals. As there are other policies to protect landscape and visual impact it is considered that the impact would be minimal.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD21	+		
	LPD22	+		
	LPD23	+		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	0		
8. Natural Resources	LPD20	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD20 and LPD22 restrict development on greenfield land because they protect open space and Local Green Space. The provision of new open space (Policy LPD21) prevents a development site from being developed entirely for housing so this is a positive impact. Development for tourist accommodation and equestrian business (Policies LPD24 and LPD25) in the rural countryside could result in the loss of greenfield land but this would be minimal. Policy LPD23 relates to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park so this scores a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on natural resources. The effect of Policies LPD21, LPD24 and LPD25 is uncertain as it depends on the proposals. The effect of the remainder of the policies is positive.</p>
	LPD21	+		
	LPD22	++		
	LPD23	+		
	LPD24	?		
	LPD25	?		
9. Flooding	LPD20	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	It is considered that the policies should have no significant impact on flooding.
	LPD21	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD22	0		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding.
	LPD23	0		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	0		
10. Waste	LPD20	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the waste.
	LPD21	0		
	LPD22	0		
	LPD23	0		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	0		
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD20	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no direct impact on energy and climate change.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change.
	LPD21	0		
	LPD22	0		
	LPD23	0		
	LPD24	0		
	LPD25	0		
12. Transport	LPD20	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	Existing open space and Local Green Space within residential areas as well as new open space provision within new residential areas (which would be mainly on the edge or within a built up area) can often be accessed by local residents without the use of a car. Policy LPD20 precludes development if it would affect access to open space. Policy LPD21 prioritises provision of open space within new development for ease of access. Policy LPD22 designates Local Green Space where it meets specific criteria including where it is well related to communities. As Policy LPD23 relates to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park, any proposals related to the Forest could have some impact on the existing transport infrastructure and tourism-related businesses within the Forest may not reduce journeys undertaken by car. Access to tourist accommodation (Policy LPD24) and equestrian business (Policy LPD25) depends on the location and type of tourist accommodation and equestrian
	LPD21	++		
	LPD22	++		
	LPD23	?		
	LPD24	?		
	LPD25	?		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				<p>business as tourism-related businesses may not reduce journeys undertaken by car.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport. The effect of Policies LPD23 to LPD25 is uncertain as it depends on the locations of the proposals. The effect of the remainder of the policies is major positive.</p>
13. Employment	LPD20	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Greenwood Community Forest / Sherwood Regional Forest</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD20 to LPD22 relate to open space and green space so they score a neutral. Policy LPD23 refers to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park so this scores a neutral. Depending on the scale and type of business, tourist accommodation and equestrian development would provide job opportunities.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment, with the exception of Policies LPD24 and LPD25 which they score a minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD24 and LPD25 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD21	0		
	LPD22	0		
	LPD23	0		
	LPD24	+		
	LPD25	+		
14. Innovation	LPD20	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Greenwood Community Forest / Sherwood Regional Forest</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD20 to LPD22 relate to open space and green space so they score a neutral. Policy LPD23 refers to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park so this scores a neutral. People with specialised skills may be required for tourist accommodation or equestrian business (Policies LPD24 and LPD25).</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation, with the exception of Policies LPD24 and LPD25 which they score a minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD24 and LPD25 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD21	0		
	LPD22	0		
	LPD23	0		
	LPD24	+		
	LPD25	+		
15. Economic Structure	LPD20	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Greenwood Community</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD20 to LPD22 relate to open space and green space so they score a neutral. Policy LPD23 refers to the aims and objectives of the Greenwood Community Forest and Sherwood Forest Regional Park so this scores a</p>
	LPD21	0		
	LPD22	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD23	0	Forest / Sherwood Regional Forest <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Long term</li><li>• Permanent</li></ul>	neutral. Depending on the scale and type of business, tourist accommodation and equestrian development (Policies LPD24 and LPD25) would provide job opportunities.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure, with the exception of Policies LPD24 and LPD25 which they score a minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD24 and LPD25 would be long term and permanent.
	LPD24	+		
	LPD25	+		
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None.</li></ul>				
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No change.</li></ul>				

# Historic Environment

- Policy LPD26: Heritage Assets
- Policy LPD27: Listed Buildings
- Policy LPD28: Conservation Areas
- Policy LPD29: Historic Landscapes, Parks and Gardens
- Policy LPD30: Archaeology
- Policy LPD31: Locally Important Heritage Assets

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD26	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gedling wide / heritage assets</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that Policies LPD26 to LPD29 would restrict the supply of land for new housing and some of the existing heritage assets cannot be adapted to meet housing needs. It is important to balance having the protection of Listed Buildings and placing onerous restriction on development such that it is inviable to refurbish a property and it falls into despair. It is considered that Policies LPD30 and LPD31 would not increase housing supply. For LPD30, it depends on the specific discovery of archaeological remains which could delay housing delivery.</p> <p>Overall, there is a negative effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD27	-		
	LPD28	-		
	LPD29	-		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	0		
2. Health	LPD26	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not improve access to health services or increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health.</p>
	LPD27	0		
	LPD28	0		
	LPD29	0		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	0		
3. Heritage and Design	LPD26	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gedling wide / heritage assets</li> </ul>	<p>The policies meet the SA objective as they seek to conserve and enhance the historic environment, designated and non-designated heritage assets and their settings and the archaeological environment.</p>
	LPD27	++		
	LPD28	++		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD29	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	Overall, there is a major positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.
	LPD30	++		
	LPD31	++		
4. Crime	LPD26	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime.</p>
	LPD27	0		
	LPD28	0		
	LPD29	0		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	0		
5. Social	LPD26	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gedling wide / heritage assets</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Heritage assets may include cultural assets. Some Listed Buildings and Locally Important Heritage Assets are in residential, commercial or leisure recreational use. Depending on the heritage assets, the policies may improve ethnic and intergenerational relations by preserving as well as promoting the understanding of heritage. Thus this results in a minor positive effect for all policies.</p> <p>Overall, there is a minor positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD27	+		
	LPD28	+		
	LPD29	+		
	LPD30	+		
	LPD31	+		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD26	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gedling wide / heritage assets</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>All policies refer to the character or settings which could include Green Infrastructure. They could have a positive impact on Green Infrastructure as the policies seek to protect the setting of Listed Buildings (which can be rural), open space in Conservation Areas and archaeological assets (which can be landscape scale, for example ridge and furrow or a medieval village in a field). Depending on the heritage assets, the policies could conserve and enhance the natural environment such as sites designated for nature conservation interest and woodland. There is no reference to protected species, habitats and open space in Policies LPD26, LPD27, LPD30 and LPD31. Policy LPD28 on Conservation Areas refers to important open space, trees, hedgerows, open spaces and landscape features. Policy LPD29 on Historic</p>
	LPD27	+		
	LPD28	+		
	LPD29	++		
	LPD30	+		
	LPD31	+		



SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				<p>Landscapes, Parks and Gardens meets the SA objective, thus this scores a major positive.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
7. Landscape	LPD26	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gedling wide / heritage assets</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>All policies could have a positive impact on landscape as the policies seek to protect the setting of Listed Buildings (which can be rural), open space in Conservation Areas and archaeological assets (which can be landscape scale, for example ridge and furrow or a medieval village in a field). Depending on the heritage assets, the policies could conserve and enhance the natural environment such as sites designated for nature conservation interest and woodland. There is no reference to landscape or visual amenity in Policies LPD26, LPD27, LPD30 and LPD31. Policy LPD28 on Conservation Areas refer to landscape features. Policy LPD29 on Historic Landscapes, Parks and Gardens meets the SA objective, thus this scores a major positive.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD27	+		
	LPD28	+		
	LPD29	++		
	LPD30	+		
	LPD31	+		
8. Natural Resources	LPD26	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>There is no reference to natural resources including water, air quality, soils and minerals in the policies so this scores a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on natural resources.</p>
	LPD27	0		
	LPD28	0		
	LPD29	0		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	0		
9. Flooding	LPD26	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not have any direct impact on flooding.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding.</p>
	LPD27	0		
	LPD28	0		
	LPD29	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	0		
10. Waste	LPD26	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste recovery.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on waste.</p>
	LPD27	0		
	LPD28	0		
	LPD29	0		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	0		
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD26	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gedling wide / heritage assets</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Adaptations to historic buildings can be made to make historic buildings more efficient but there is a need for these to be appropriate (for instance no plastic window frames). Thus Policies LPD26, LPD27, LPD28 and LPD31 score a minor negative as it depends on the nature of adaptation. Policies LPD29 and LPD30 score a neutral because they do not relate to buildings and Scheduled Monuments are usually structures rather than occupied buildings.</p> <p>Overall, there is a negative effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD27	-		
	LPD28	-		
	LPD29	0		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	-		
12. Transport	LPD26	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gedling wide / heritage assets</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>The commentary for SA Objective 5. Social states that heritage assets may be cultural assets. Some Listed Buildings and Locally Important Heritage Assets are in residential, commercial or leisure recreational use and some of these heritage assets are within Conservation Areas. Policies support the preservation of these heritage assets which will generally be located in sustainable locations. Thus Policies LPD26, LPD27, LPD28 and LPD31 score a minor positive impact.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD27	+		
	LPD28	+		
	LPD29	0		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	+		
13. Employment	LPD26	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>Existing heritage assets such as Newstead Abbey provide voluntary work and paid job opportunities. However the policies retain the heritage assets (rather</p>
	LPD27	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD28	0		than providing additional assets) and so they have a neutral benefit to the SA objective.
	LPD29	0		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	0		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment.
14. Innovation	LPD26	0	• No effect	Existing heritage assets such as Newstead Abbey require people with specialised skills to maintain or manage heritage assets. However the policies retain the heritage assets (rather than providing additional assets) and so they have a neutral benefit to the SA objective.
	LPD27	0		
	LPD28	0		
	LPD29	0		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	0		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation.
15. Economic Structure	LPD26	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on land available for development or infrastructure.
	LPD27	0		
	LPD28	0		
	LPD29	0		
	LPD30	0		
	LPD31	0		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure.
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None.</li></ul>				
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No change.</li></ul>				

# Design

- Policy LPD32: Amenity
- Policy LPD33: Residential Density
- Policy LPD34: Residential Gardens
- Policy LPD35: Safe, Accessible and Inclusive Development

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD32	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>The impact of Policies LPD32 (amenity) and LPD35 (safe, accessible and inclusive development) depends on the design and layout of the new housing. It could have a minor negative impact on housing delivery, particularly in relation to small sites close to existing buildings. Policy LPD33 provides different densities for different areas of the Borough which could mean a high number of houses would be provided within a high density area (e.g. the urban area) and fewer houses would be provided within a low density area (e.g. village). Thus it is considered to be an overall positive impact. Policy LPD34 restricts residential development on gardens which mean fewer houses would be provided thus a minor negative score.</p> <p>Overall, there is a negative effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing, with the exception of Policy LPD33 which scores a minor positive. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD33	+		
	LPD34	-		
	LPD35	-		
2. Health	LPD32	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not improve access to health services or increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health.</p>
	LPD33	0		
	LPD34	0		
	LPD35	0		
3. Heritage and Design	LPD32	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD32 relates to amenity which could contribute to protect local character. Policy LPD33 provides different minimum densities that reflects the local characteristics and does not harm local character. Residential gardens will be protected under Policy LPD34 which could contribute to</p>
	LPD33	+		
	LPD34	+		
	LPD35	++		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				<p>protect and strengthen local character. Policy LPD35 sets a criteria on how new development should be designed which contribute to the existing local character or create new local character.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
4. Crime	LPD32	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD32 (amenity) and LPD35 (safe, accessible and inclusive development) contribute to providing safe development which prevent crime and fear of crime. It is considered that Policies LPD33 and LPD34 would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime. Policy LPD35 set out criteria for the layout of development which could provide diversionary activities to crime.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD33	0		
	LPD34	0		
	LPD35	++		
5. Social	LPD32	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD35 sets out criteria for the layout of development which could provide new spaces which could encourage community activities and improve ethnic and intergenerational relations. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on the existing cultural assets.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues, with the exception of Policy LPD35 which scores a minor positive.</p>
	LPD33	0		
	LPD34	0		
	LPD35	+		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD32	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>The impact of Policies LPD32 (amenity), 33 (residential density) and LPD35 (safe, accessible and inclusive development) depends on the location of the proposals. For Policy LPD34, residential gardens would be protected from development which may include trees, habitats or land that contribute to the Green Infrastructure.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the</p>
	LPD33	?		
	LPD34	+		
	LPD35	?		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				natural environment. The effect of Policies LPD33 and LPD35 is uncertain as it depends on the location of the proposals. The effect of Policies LPD34 is minor positive and it would protect residential gardens in the long term.
7. Landscape	LPD32	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>The impact of Policies LPD32 (amenity), LPD33 (residential density) and LPD35 (safe, accessible and inclusive development) depends on the location of the proposals. For Policy LPD34, residential gardens would be protected from development which could include landscape visual.</p> <p>Overall, there is an uncertain effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape, with the exception of Policy LPD34. The effect of Policies LPD32, LPD32 and LPD35 is uncertain as it depends on the location of the proposals. The effect of Policies LPD34 is minor positive and it would protect landscape visual in the long term.</p>
	LPD33	?		
	LPD34	+		
	LPD35	?		
8. Natural Resources	LPD32	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>The impact of Policies LPD32 (amenity), LPD33 (residential density) and LPD35 (safe, accessible and inclusive development) depends on the location of the proposals. For Policy LPD34, residential gardens which are greenfield land would be protected from development.</p> <p>Overall, there is an uncertain effect in relation to the cumulative impact on natural resources, with the exception of Policy LPD34. The effect of Policies LPD32, LPD32 and LPD35 is uncertain as it depends on the location of the proposals. The effect of Policies LPD34 is minor positive and it would protect residential gardens (which are greenfield land) in the long term.</p>
	LPD33	?		
	LPD34	+		
	LPD35	?		
9. Flooding	LPD32	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not have any direct impact on flooding.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding.</p>
	LPD33	0		
	LPD34	0		
	LPD35	0		
10. Waste	LPD32	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste recovery.</p>
	LPD33	0		
	LPD34	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD35	0		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on waste.
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD32	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that Policies LPD32 to LPD34 would have no significant impact on energy and climate change. Policy LDP35 refers to local climatic conditions including solar orientation and prevailing winds to maximise the opportunities for energy deficient design, renewable energy generation and access to sunlight within the development.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change, with the exception of Policy LPD35 where the effect is major positive. It is considered the effect of Policy LPD35 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD33	0		
	LPD34	0		
	LPD35	++		
12. Transport	LPD32	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>The volume of new vehicles generated by new development depends on the number of new homes and other uses. It is considered that Policy LPD32 would not have any significant impact on existing transport infrastructure or encouraging alternative modes of transport that minimises the impact on the environment. For Policy LPD33, higher densities exist mainly in the urban area which is more sustainable in terms of the transport network, minimises the impact on the environment and encourages people to use alternative modes of transport (i.e. walking, cycling and public transport) and accessibility to services and facilities. For Policy LPD34, it is considered that the impact would be minimal as this policy restricts residential development on residential gardens and there would be no significant change to the transport system or modes. Policy LPD35 refers to connection to facilities and public transport for any development proposals that provide streets so this scores a minor positive.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport. The effect of Policies LPD33 and LPD35 is minor positive due to the accessibility and connection of services and facilities to the development. It is considered that the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD33	+		
	LPD34	0		
	LPD35	+		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
13. Employment	LPD32	0	• No effect	<p>The impact of Policies LPD32 (amenity) and LPD35 (safe, accessible and inclusive development) depends on the design and layout of the new employment which may restrict the number of units on site. However it is considered that the impact would be minimal and both Policies score a neutral (the same score as SA Objective 1. Housing). It is consider the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on the range of jobs/business activity.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment.</p>
	LPD33	0		
	LPD34	0		
	LPD35	0		
14. Innovation	LPD32	0	• No effect	<p>It is consider the policies would have no significant impact on the qualification or type of jobs.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation.</p>
	LPD33	0		
	LPD34	0		
	LPD35	0		
15. Economic Structure	LPD32	0	• No effect	<p>The impact of Policies LPD32 (amenity) and LPD35 (safe, accessible and inclusive development) depends on the design and layout of the site for development or infrastructure. However it is considered that the impact would be minimal and thus both Policies score a neutral. It is consider the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on land available for development or infrastructure.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure.</p>
	LPD33	0		
	LPD34	0		
	LPD35	0		
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None.</li></ul>				
<b>Outcome:</b>				



SA Objectives	Score	Assessment of effect	Commentary
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No change.</li> </ul>			

# Homes

- Policy LPD36: Affordable Housing
- Policy LPD37: Housing Type, Size and Tenure
- Policy LPD38: Specialist Accommodation
- Policy LPD39: Housing Development on Unallocated Sites
- Policy LPD40: Live Work Units
- Policy LPD41: Self Build and Custom Homes
- Policy LPD42: Extensions to Dwellings Not in the Green Belt

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD36	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD36 to LPD41 relating to providing different types of housing meet the SA objective – they would increase the range of affordability of housing for all social groups such as different types of houses and flats as well as specialist accommodation for older people, adults with learning disabilities and/or autism, people with physical disabilities and vulnerable adults. For Policy LDP42 relating to extensions to buildings not in the Green Belt, the same commentary and score as for Policy LPD13 (extensions to buildings within the Green Belt) applies – the extensions of dwellings could possibly increase the range of housing and also reduce unfit homes.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD37	++		
	LPD38	++		
	LPD39	++		
	LPD40	++		
	LPD41	++		
	LPD42	+		
2. Health	LPD36	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For Policy LPD36, people living in affordable housing may no longer be homeless or living in worse housing conditions. For Policy LPD38, people living in specialist accommodation would have support or care provision included. For other types of new housing development, it depends on the size and location of the new housing as it could impact on health services and opportunities for recreational physical activity. Policy LPD21 in the Local Planning Document requires a minimum of 10% open space within new</p>
	LPD37	?		
	LPD38	++		
	LPD39	0		
	LPD40	0		
	LPD41	?		
	LPD42	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				residential development on sites of 0.4 ha and above. Policy LPD41 allows the opportunity to provide homes to meet specific health requirements. It is considered that Policy LPD42 would not improve access to health services or increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity.  Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health.
3. Heritage and Design	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For new housing development (LPD36, LPD37, LPD38 and LPD41), it depends on the design, layout and location of the new housing as it could have some impact on heritage and local character. For Policy LPD40, it depends on the proposals as the conversion or change of use of existing building could have an impact on the local character. Policy LPD39 refers to design and Policy LPD42 refers to conserving any historic significant the building may have and ensures it is in keeping with surrounding character. No reference is made to the local character in the other policies.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design, with the exception of Policies LPD39 and LPD42 which score a minor positive and Policy LPD40 which scores an uncertain effect. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD39 and LPD42 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	0		
	LPD39	+		
	LPD40	?		
	LPD41	0		
	LPD42	+		
4. Crime	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime, except for the policy on specialist accommodation which could make the residents feel safe living in an environment with support or care provision.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime, with the exception of Policy LPD38 which scores a minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policy LPD38 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	+		
	LPD39	0		
	LPD40	0		
	LPD41	0		
	LPD42	0		
5. Social	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> </ul>	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on existing cultural assets and would not improve access to community activities, except
	LPD37	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD38	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	for the policy on specialist accommodation because different types of accommodation include sitting/dining rooms where residents can socialise.
	LPD39	0		
	LPD40	0		
	LPD41	0		
	LPD42	0		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues, with the exception of Policy LPD38 which scores a minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policy LPD38 would be long term and permanent.
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For new housing development, it depends on the location of the new housing as it could have some impact on natural environment. Policy LPD39 refers to protecting open space which makes an importance contribution to the appearance of the area. Policies LPD40 and LPD41 are clear that development proposals should be in an appropriate location and accord with Green Belt policy. For extensions to dwellings (Policy LPD42), it is considered the extensions would take place within residential gardens which may include trees, habitats or land that contribute to the Green Infrastructure.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment. The effect of Policies LPD39 to LPD41 is a minor positive and Policy LPD42 is uncertain as it depends on the location of the proposals.</p>
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	0		
	LPD39	+		
	LPD40	+		
	LPD41	+		
	LPD42	?		
7. Landscape	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For new housing development, it depends on the location of the new housing as it could have some impact on the landscape and visual impact. LPD39 refers to protecting open space which makes an importance contribution to the appearance of the area. Policies LPD40 and LPD41 are clear that development proposals should be in an appropriate location and accord with Green Belt policy. Policy LPD42 relates to extensions to dwellings not in the Green Belt (i.e. within a built up area) and it is considered there would be minimal impact.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape, with the exception of Policies LPD39 to LPD41 which score a</p>
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	0		
	LPD39	+		
	LPD40	+		
	LPD41	+		
	LPD42	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD39 to LPD41 would be long term and permanent.
8. Natural Resources	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For new housing development, it depends on the location of the new housing as it could have some impact on natural resources. Windfall sites could be on greenfield land as well as brownfield land. LPD39 refers to protecting open space which makes an importance contribution to the appearance of the area. Policies LPD40 and LPD41 are clear that the development proposals should be in an appropriate location and accord with Green Belt policy. For Policy LPD42, it is considered there would be a minimal impact on the natural resources for extensions.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on natural resources, with the exception of Policies LPD39 to LPD41 which score a minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD39 to LPD41 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	0		
	LPD39	+		
	LPD40	+		
	LPD41	+		
	LPD42	0		
9. Flooding	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not have any direct impact on flooding.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding.</p>
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	0		
	LPD39	0		
	LPD40	0		
	LPD41	0		
	LPD42	0		
10. Waste	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste recovery.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on waste.</p>
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	0		
	LPD39	0		
	LPD40	0		
	LPD41	0		
	LPD42	0		
11. Energy and	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> </ul>	It is possible that some of the new housing development could include energy

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
Climate Change	LPD37	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>efficiency features. There is no specific reference to climate change in the policies thus they score a neutral. Policy LPD42 relates to extensions to dwellings and it is considered that this would have no impact on energy and climate change.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change.</p>
	LPD38	0		
	LPD39	0		
	LPD40	0		
	LPD41	0		
	LPD42	0		
12. Transport	LPD36	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Any new housing development would generate additional traffic depending on the location. Sites within the urban area or villages with good public transport network would have a positive effect. Living in a rural area with poor transport network would require more car use. Policy LPD39 encourages residential development within the existing main built up area of Nottingham, the edge of Hucknall, the key settlements and other villages. The main built up area and the key settlements generally have a good public transport network. Some villages have good public transport network and others less so. Policies LPD40 and LPD41 state that proposals should be in an appropriate location i.e. within or on the edge of existing residential area. The criteria in policies LPD39, LPD41 and LPD41 include “appropriate provision for parking”. Policy LPD38 is clear that proposals for specialist accommodation should be located in an existing residential area close to good public routes thus this scores a major positive. Policy LPD42 relates to extensions to dwellings and it is considered that this policy would have no impact on transport network or modes.</p> <p>Overall, there is an uncertain effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport depending on the location of new development.</p>
	LPD37	?		
	LPD38	++		
	LPD39	?		
	LPD40	?		
	LPD41	?		
	LPD42	0		
13. Employment	LPD36	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Short / medium / long</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD38 would create job opportunities in the care sector. Policy LPD40 relates to live work units for people who want to work from home. For Policy LPD41, custom and self-build homes would generate job opportunities for local people or those with specialised skills to design or construct the project.</p>
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	+		
	LPD39	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD40	++	term • Temporary / permanent	The effect of the jobs could be short, medium or long term as well as temporary or permanent. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on the range of jobs/ business activity.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment, with the exception of Policies LPD38, LPD40 and LPD41 which they score positive effect.
	LPD41	++		
	LPD42	0		
14. Innovation	LPD36	0	• Borough wide • Short / medium / long term • Temporary / permanent	For Policy LPD38, there is potential for innovation in the care sector to meet a rising level of need. There could be potential for innovation arising from people working from home (Policy LPD40). For Policy LPD41, custom and self-build homes would require those with specialised skills to design or construct the project. The effect of the jobs could be short, medium or long term as well as temporary or permanent. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on qualification or type of jobs.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment, with the exception of Policies LPD38 and LPD41 which they score positive effect and Policy LPD40 has an uncertain score.
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	+		
	LPD39	0		
	LPD40	?		
	LPD41	+		
	LPD42	0		
15. Economic Structure	LPD36	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on land available for development or infrastructure.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure.
	LPD37	0		
	LPD38	0		
	LPD39	0		
	LPD40	0		
	LPD41	0		
	LPD42	0		
<b>Recommendations:</b> • None.				

SA Objectives	Score	Assessment of effect	Commentary
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No change.</li> </ul>			



# Employment

- Policy LPD43: Retention of Employment and Employment Uses
- Policy LPD44: Employment Development on Unallocated sites
- Policy LPD45: Expansion of Existing Employment Uses Not in the Green Belt
- Policy LPD46: Agricultural and Rural Diversification
- Policy LPD47: Local Labour Agreements

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD43	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD43 relates to employment allocations and protected employment areas identified on the Policies Map and, as such, could possibly reduce potential for housing development on employment sites. Policy LPD44 supports employment development on unallocated sites but does not preclude these sites coming forward for housing, so scores a neutral. It is considered the remainder of the policies would not increase housing supply, thus they score neutral. For Policies LPD45 and LPD46, expansion of employment sites and rural diversification could possibly reduce scope for housing development on such sites in the future.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing.</p>
	LPD44	0		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	0		
	LPD47	0		
2. Health	LPD43	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not improve access to health services or increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health.</p>
	LPD44	0		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	0		
	LPD47	0		
3. Heritage and Design	LPD43	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Reference is made to harm to the significance of a heritage asset in Policy LPD43 (retention of employment and employment uses) and Policy LPD45 (expansion of existing employment uses not in the Green Belt). For new employment development on unallocated land (Policy LPD44) and rural</p>
	LPD44	?		
	LPD45	+		
	LPD46	?		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD47	0		diversification schemes (Policy LPD46), it depends on the design, layout and location of the new housing as it could have some impact on heritage and local character. In terms of rural diversification, the re-use of traditional rural buildings can have a positive impact. Policy LPD47 relates to Local Labour Agreements so this scores a neutral.  Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design.
4. Crime	LPD43	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime</p>
	LPD44	0		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	0		
	LPD47	0		
5. Social	LPD43	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Short / medium / long term</li> <li>Temporary / permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For Policy LPD43, it depends on the location and details of proposals. The supporting text to Policy LPD43 states community facilities and specialised leisure uses which cannot be accommodated in centres are likely to be acceptable on employment sites. Thus this scores a minor positive. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on existing cultural assets and would not improve access to community activities.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues, with the exception of Policy LPD43 which scores a minor positive. Depending on the locations and details of proposals, it is considered the effect would vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market.</p>
	LPD44	0		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	0		
	LPD47	0		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD43	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD43 relates to existing employment land so this scores a neutral. For new employment development, it depends on the location of the new employment units as they could have some impact on natural environment. Policy LPD46 refers to the development proposals to accord with Green Belt</p>
	LPD44	?		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	?		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD47	0		<p>policy and making best use of existing permanent buildings. Policy LPD47 relates to Local Labour Agreements so this scores a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment.</p>
7. Landscape	LPD43	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD43 relates to existing employment land so this scores a neutral. For new employment development, it depends on the location of the new employment units as they could have some impact on the landscape and visual impact. Policy LPD46 refers to the development proposals to accord with Green Belt policy and making best use of existing permanent buildings. Policy LPD47 relates to Local Labour Agreements so this scores a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape.</p>
	LPD44	?		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	?		
	LPD47	0		
8. Natural Resources	LPD43	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD43 relates to existing employment land so this scores a neutral. Existing employment uses are considered to have any impact to natural resources. For new employment development under Policies LPD44 and LPD46, it depends on the location of the new employment units as they could have some impact on natural resources. Windfall sites could be on greenfield land as well as brownfield land. For Policy LPD45, it is considered there is a minimal impact on the natural resources for expansion of existing employment uses not in the Green Belt. Policy LPD47 relates to Local Labour Agreements so this scores a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on natural resources.</p>
	LPD44	?		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	?		
	LPD47	0		
9. Flooding	LPD43	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD43 relates to existing employment land so this scores a neutral. For new employment development under Policies LPD44 and LPD46, it depends on the location of the new employment units as they could have some impact on flooding. There are other policies to address flood risk and</p>
	LPD44	?		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	?		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD47	0		water management. Policy LPD47 relates to Local Labour Agreements so this scores a neutral.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding.
10. Waste	LPD43	0	• No effect	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste recovery.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on waste.
	LPD44	0		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	0		
	LPD47	0		
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD43	0	• Borough wide • Long term • Permanent	It is possible that some of the new employment development could include some energy efficiency features thus the policies score a minor positive. There is no specific reference to climate change in the policies thus they score neutral.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change.
	LPD44	0		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	0		
	LPD47	0		
12. Transport	LPD43	0	• Borough wide • Long term • Permanent	Any new employment development on unallocated sites (Policy LPD44) will generate additional traffic depending on the location. Sites within the urban area or villages with good public transport network would have a positive effect. However new rural business (Policy LPD46) would require more car use. Policy LPD43 refers to proposals not causing a detrimental effect on highway safety. The expansion of existing employment uses not in the Green Belt would have no impact on transport network or modes as such sits will be within existing built up areas. Policy LPD47 relates to Local Labour Agreements so this scores a neutral.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport.
	LPD44	+		
	LPD45	0		
	LPD46	?		
	LPD47	0		
13. Employment	LPD43	++	• Borough wide	Policies LPD43 to LPD47 meet the SA objective – they would provide and

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD44	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Short / medium / long term</li><li>Temporary / permanent</li></ul>	improve the diversity and quality of jobs.
	LPD45	++		Overall, there is a major positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment. It is considered the effect would vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market.
	LPD46	++		
	LPD47	++		
14. Innovation	LPD43	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Borough wide</li><li>Short / medium / long term</li><li>Temporary / permanent</li></ul>	Policies LPD43 to LPD47 meet the SA objective – they would provide and increase levels of qualification or type of jobs.
	LPD44	++		Overall, there is a major positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation. It is considered the effect would vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market.
	LPD45	++		
	LPD46	++		
	LPD47	++		
15. Economic Structure	LPD43	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Borough wide</li><li>Short / medium / long term</li><li>Temporary / permanent</li></ul>	Policies LPD43 to LPD47 meet the SA objective – they would provide land and buildings required by businesses.
	LPD44	++		Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure. It is considered the effect would vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market.
	LPD45	+		
	LPD46	++		
	LPD47	++		
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Consider including reference to protection of heritage assets or local character in Policies LPD44 and LPD46 (SA 3 Heritage and Design).</li></ul>				
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No change to Policies LPD44 and LPD46 as the impacts on heritage assets and local character are covered by separate Policies LPD26 (Heritage Assets) to LPD31 (Locally Important Heritage Assets).</li></ul>				

## Retail and Community Facilities

- Policy LPD48: Retail Hierarchy and Town Centre Boundaries
- Policy LPD49: Development within Town and Local Centres
- Policy LPD50: Upper Floors
- Policy LPD51: Impact Assessment Threshold
- Policy LPD52: Markets
- Policy LPD53: Development within Small Parades
- Policy LPD54: Fast Food Takeaways
- Policy LPD55: Security Shutters
- Policy LPD56: Protection of Community Facilities

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>• Short/medium long term</li> <li>• Temporary / permanent</li> </ul>	<p>The supporting text to Policy LPD49 does not allow residential development that exceeds the policy percentages in Arnold Primary Area and Local Centres which could have an impact on housing delivery, thus this scores minor negative. Policy LPD50 scores a minor positive because the policy encourages the change of use of upper floors in town and local centres to include residential use. Where upper floors would otherwise be empty and can be used as residential thereby meeting housing need and bringing people into town centres. Policy LPD56 scores a minor negative as there is specific requirement to demonstrate lack of viability for continued use which could delay or prevent opportunity for alternative use as housing. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would not increase housing supply.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing, with the exception of Policies LPD49, LPD50 and LPD56. Policies LPD49 and LPD56 score a minor negative. Policy LPD50 scores a minor positive. It is considered the effect of Policy LPD50 would vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market.</p>
	LPD49	-		
	LPD50	+		
	LPD51	0		
	LPD52	0		
	LPD53	0		
	LPD54	0		
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	-		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
2. Health	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>Short/medium long term</li> <li>Temporary / permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Health services are non-A1 uses and would fall within the category of 'other' uses for the purposes of Policy LPD49 and would be restricted in Arnold and Local Centres. The effect could vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the demand. It is considered that Policies LPD48, LPD50 to LPD55 would not improve access to health services or increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity. Policy LPD56 protects community facilities which include health centres, GP practices, community pharmacies and dentists.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health, with the exception of Policies LPD49 and LPD56.</p>
	LPD49	?		
	LPD50	0		
	LPD51	0		
	LPD52	0		
	LPD53	0		
	LPD54	0		
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	++		
3. Heritage and Design	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD49 (development within town and local centres), LPD52 (markets) and LPD53 (development within small parades) refer to proposals not resulting in the loss of buildings or other features which make an important contribution to the appearance of the town or local centre. One of the criteria under Policy LPD55 (security shutters) ensures the policy does not apply within a Conservation Area or on a listed building. It is noted there is no reference in the policy to other non-designated heritage assets. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on heritage and local character. It is noted that Policy LPD50 has no reference to the heritage benefits of bringing upper floors into appropriate use which could tackle part vacant or derelict historic buildings.</p>
	LPD49	++		
	LPD50	0		
	LPD51	0		
	LPD52	++		
	LPD53	++		
	LPD54	0		
	LPD55	++		
	LPD56	0		
4. Crime	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For Policy LPD55, security shutters prevent crime such as robbery. Existing community facilities, protected under Policy LPD56, could provide diversionary activities to crime. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime, with the exception of Policies LPD55 and LPD56 which score a positive effect. It is considered the effect of Policies LPD55 and LPD56 would be long term</p>
	LPD49	0		
	LPD50	0		
	LPD51	0		
	LPD52	0		
	LPD53	0		
	LPD54	0		
	LPD55	++		
	LPD56	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD56	+		and permanent.
5. Social	LPD48	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD48, LPD49, LPD50, LPD52, LPD53 and LPD56 meet the SA objective – they would protect and enhance existing cultural assets, encourage engagement in community activities and improve ethnic and intergenerational relations. It is considered that Policy LPD51 (impact assessment threshold), Policy LLD54 (fast food takeaways) and Policy LPD55 (security shutters) would have no direct impact on the existing cultural assets so they score a neutral. It is noted that Policy LPD55 would make a centre more attractive and likely to encourage social activities.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues. It is considered the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD49	++		
	LPD50	++		
	LPD51	0		
	LPD52	++		
	LPD53	++		
	LPD54	0		
	LPD55	0		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD48, LPD49, LPD50, LPD52 and LPD53 relate to development within town and local centres and within and adjacent to small parade of shops so they should not have any direct impact to the natural environment. For new A1 development outside the town or local centre (Policy LPD51) or alternative community facility provision (Policy LPD56), it depends on the location of the new site as they could have some impact on natural environment. Policy LPD54 relates to new A5 uses outside 400m of secondary school so this scores neutral. Policy LPD55 relates to security shutters so this scores neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment.</p>
	LPD49	0		
	LPD50	0		
	LPD51	?		
	LPD52	0		
	LPD53	0		
	LPD54	0		
	LPD55	0		
7. Landscape	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD48, LPD49, LPD50, LPD52 and LPD53 relate to development within town and local centres and within and adjacent to small parade of shops so they should not have any direct impact to the landscape or landscape visual. For new A1 development outside the town or local centre (Policy LPD51), new A5 uses outside 400m of secondary school (Policy LPD54) or alternative community facility provision (Policy LPD56), it depends</p>
	LPD49	0		
	LPD50	0		
	LPD51	?		
	LPD52	0		
	LPD53	0		



SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD54	?		on the location of the new site as it could have some impact on the landscape and visual impact. Policy LPD55 relates to security shutters so this scores a neutral.
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	?		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the landscape.
8. Natural Resources	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	Policies LPD48, LPD49, LPD50, LPD52 and LPD53 relate to development within town and local centres and within and adjacent to small parade of shops so they should not have any direct impact to natural resources. For new A1 development outside the town or local centre (Policy LPD51), new A5 uses outside 400m of secondary school (Policy LPD54) or alternative community facility provision (Policy LPD56), it depends on the location of the new site as it could have some impact on the natural resources such as greenfield land. Policy LPD55 relates to security shutters so this scores a neutral.
	LPD49	0		
	LPD50	0		
	LPD51	?		
	LPD52	0		
	LPD53	0		
	LPD54	?		
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	?		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on natural resources.
9. Flooding	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	For new retail development outside the town or local centre (Policy LPD51) or new alternative community provision (Policy LPD56), it depends on the location of the new units as they could have some impact on flooding. Policy LPD55 relates to security shutters so this scores a neutral.
	LPD49	0		
	LPD50	0		
	LPD51	?		
	LPD52	0		
	LPD53	0		
	LPD54	?		
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	?		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding.
10. Waste	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste recovery.
	LPD49	0		
	LPD50	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD51	0		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on waste.
	LPD52	0		
	LPD53	0		
	LPD54	0		
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	0		
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD48	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is possible that some new retail or community development could include some energy efficiency features. There is no specific reference to climate change in the policies thus they score neutral. Policy LPD55 relates to security shutters so this scores a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change.</p>
	LPD49	0		
	LPD50	0		
	LPD51	0		
	LPD52	0		
	LPD53	0		
	LPD54	0		
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	0		
12. Transport	LPD48	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide / Town and Local Centres</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Any new retail or community development as well as any new market would generate a higher number of visitors to a centre depending on the location. Those sites within town or local centre with good public transport network would have a major positive effect. However new A1 development outside the town or local centre (Policy LPD51) may generate increased car use. Policy LPD56 refers to alternative community facility provision which can be reasonably accessed by walking, cycling or public transport and would not result in a significant increase in car journeys. It is assumed that fast food takeaways are located within residential area with little car use. Policy LPD55 relates to security shutters so this scores a neutral.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on waste. It is considered that the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD49	++		
	LPD50	++		
	LPD51	0		
	LPD52	++		
	LPD53	+		
	LPD54	+		
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	++		
13. Employment	LPD48	++	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> </ul>	Policies LPD48, LPD49, LPD51 to LPD54 meet the SA objective – they would

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD49	++	/ Town and Local Centres • Short / medium / long term • Temporary / permanent	provide and improve the diversity and quality of jobs. Policy LPD56 protects community facilities thus protecting existing jobs. Policy LPD50 encourages the change of use of upper floors in town and local centres to offices and recreation and leisure uses which would provide new job opportunities. The effect of the various jobs could vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market. Policy LPD55 relates to security shutters so this scores a neutral.
	LPD50	+		
	LPD51	++		
	LPD52	++		
	LPD53	++		
	LPD54	++		
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	++		Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment. It is considered the effect would vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market.
14. Innovation	LPD48	++	• Borough wide / Town and Local Centres • Short / medium / long term • Temporary / permanent	Policies LPD48, LPD49, LPD51 to LPD54 meet the SA objective – they would provide and increase levels of qualification or type of jobs. Policy LPD56 protects community facilities thus protecting existing jobs and possibly new additional jobs in alternative community provision. Policy LPD50 encourages the change of use of upper floors in town and local centres to offices and recreation and leisure uses which would provide and increase levels of qualification or type of jobs. The effect of the various jobs could vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market. Policy LPD55 relates to security shutters so this scores a neutral.
	LPD49	++		
	LPD50	+		
	LPD51	++		
	LPD52	++		
	LPD53	++		
	LPD54	++		
	LPD55	0		
	LPD56	++		Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation. It is considered the effect would vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market.
15. Economic Structure	LPD48	++	• Borough wide / Town and Local Centres • Short / medium / long term	Policies LPD48, LPD49, LPD51 to LPD54 meet the SA objective – they would provide land and buildings required by businesses. Policy LPD56 protects community facilities or provides alternative community provision. Policy LPD50 encourages change of use of upper floors in town and local centres to offices and recreation and leisure uses. The effect of the uses could vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the
	LPD49	++		
	LPD50	+		
	LPD51	++		
	LPD52	++		
	LPD53	++		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD54	++	• Temporary / permanent	market. Policy LPD55 relates to security shutters so this scores a neutral.
	LPD55	0		Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure. It is considered the effect would vary from short to long term and temporary and permanent depending on the market.
	LPD56	+		
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Amend Policy LPD50 to refer to the heritage benefits of bringing upper floors into appropriate use which could tackle part vacant or derelict historic buildings (SA 3 Heritage and Design).</li><li>• Expand Policy LPD55 to refer to other non-designated heritage assets (SA 3 Heritage and Design).</li></ul>				
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No change to Policy LPD50 as it is considered there is no need to make specific reference to heritage assets.</li><li>• Amend the wording of Policy LPD55 to refer to other non-designated heritage assets.</li></ul>				

# Transport

- Policy LPD57: Parking Standards
- Policy LPD58: Cycle Routes, Recreational Routes and Public Rights of Way
- Policy LPD59: Park and Ride
- Policy LPD60: Local Transport Schemes
- Policy LPD61: Highway Safety

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
1. Housing	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not increase housing supply but they would have some impact on the delivery of housing. Policy LPD57 provides parking standards for development based on the number of houses, however the parking standards could possibly have an minor impact on the amount of housing being built as it may not be viable due to parking requirements. Policy LPD60 refers to the Gedling Access Road which is required to serve the proposed mixed use (housing and employment) redevelopment of the former Gedling Colliery site. However it is noted that if the Gedling Access Road is not implemented this will prevent the housing development on the allocated site.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on housing.</p>
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	0		
	LPD60	0		
	LPD61	0		
2. Health	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Borough wide</li> <li>• Long term</li> <li>• Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not have any direct impact on health, except for Policy LPD58 (cycles routes, recreational routes and public rights of way) which provides opportunities for recreational physical activity. Policy LPD61 could have co-benefits to physical activity (active travel) by making walking and cycling safer.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on health, with the exception of Policies LPD58 and LPD61 which score a</p>
	LPD58	++		
	LPD59	0		
	LPD60	0		
	LPD61	+		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				positive effect.
3. Heritage and Design	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD58 scores a minor positive because it could protect historic recreational routes such as old railway lines. For any new Park and Ride facilities and local transport schemes under Policies LPD59 and LPD60, it depends on the design and locations as they could have some effect on the heritage and local character. It is considered that the remainder of the policies would have no significant impact on heritage and local character.</p> <p>Overall, there is a mixed effect in relation to the cumulative impact on heritage and design. Policy LPD58 scores a minor positive and Policies LPD59 and LPD60 have uncertain score as it depends on the proposals.</p>
	LPD58	+		
	LPD59	?		
	LPD60	?		
	LPD61	0		
4. Crime	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on crime and fear of crime.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on crime.</p>
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	0		
	LPD60	0		
	LPD61	0		
5. Social	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would not have any direct impact on existing cultural assets, except for Policy LPD58 (cycles routes, recreational routes and public rights of way) which could provide or improve access or encourage community activities.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on social issues, with the exception of Policy LPD58 which scores a minor positive. It is considered that the effect of Policy LPD58 would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD58	+		
	LPD59	0		
	LPD60	0		
	LPD61	0		
6. Environment, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure	LPD57	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For any new Park and Ride facilities and local transport schemes under Policies LPD59 and LPD60 and parking standards for new development under Policy LPD57, it depends on the design, layout and location of the new development which requires parking spaces as they could have some impact on natural environment.</p>
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	?		
	LPD60	?		
	LPD61	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
				Overall, there is an uncertain effect in relation to the cumulative impact on the natural environment, with the exception of Policies LPD58 and LPD61. The reason for the uncertain effect is because it depends on the design, layout and location of the proposals.
7. Landscape	LPD57	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For any new Park and Ride facilities and local transport schemes under Policies LPD59 and LPD60 and parking standards for new development under Policy LPD57, it depends on the design, layout and location of the new development which requires parking spaces as they could have some impact on the landscape and visual impact.</p> <p>Overall, there is an uncertain effect in relation to the cumulative impact on landscape, with the exception of Policies LPD58 and LPD61. The reason for the uncertain effect is because it depends on the design, layout and location of the proposals.</p>
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	?		
	LPD60	?		
	LPD61	0		
8. Natural Resources	LPD57	?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>For any new Park and Ride facilities and local transport schemes under Policies LPD59 and LPD60 and parking standards for new development under Policy LPD57, it depends on the design, layout and location of the new development which requires parking spaces as they could have some impact on natural resources such as greenfield land and water quality.</p> <p>Overall, there is an uncertain effect in relation to the cumulative impact on natural resources, with the exception of Policies LPD58 and LPD61. The reason for the uncertain effect is because it depends on the design, layout and location of the proposals.</p>
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	?		
	LPD60	?		
	LPD61	0		
9. Flooding	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies should have no significant impact on flooding. There are other policies to address flood risk and water management.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on flooding.</p>
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	0		
	LPD60	0		
	LPD61	0		

SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
10. Waste	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on waste.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on waste.</p>
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	0		
	LPD60	0		
	LPD61	0		
11. Energy and Climate Change	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>It is considered that the policies would have no significant impact on energy and climate change.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on energy and climate change.</p>
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	0		
	LPD60	0		
	LPD61	0		
12. Transport	LPD57	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Borough wide</li> <li>Long term</li> <li>Permanent</li> </ul>	<p>Policy LPD58 encourages alternative modes of transport other than cars so this scores a major positive. Policy LPD57 restricts the number of parking spaces and encourages alternative modes of transport. For Policy LPD59, Park and Ride facilities would make use of and enhance existing transport infrastructure and reduce journeys undertaken by car going into Nottingham (or elsewhere). Policy LPD60 provides a list of transport schemes to strengthen existing or create new transport infrastructure. Policy LPD61 encourages safe and suitable access to development. Thus they all score a minor positive.</p> <p>Overall, there is a positive effect in relation to the cumulative impact on transport. It is considered that the effect would be long term and permanent.</p>
	LPD58	++		
	LPD59	+		
	LPD60	+		
	LPD61	+		
13. Employment	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD59 and LPD60 could generate employment opportunities. It is considered there would be no direct impact on the range of jobs/business activity.</p> <p>Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on employment.</p>
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	0		
	LPD60	0		
	LPD61	0		
14. Innovation	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect</li> </ul>	<p>Policies LPD59 and LPD60 could require people with specialised skills in driving or construction work. It is considered there would be no direct impact</p>
	LPD58	0		



SA Objectives	Score		Assessment of effect	Commentary
	LPD59	0		on the qualification or type of jobs.
	LPD60	0		Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on innovation.
	LPD61	0		
15. Economic Structure	LPD57	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Borough wide</li><li>• Long term</li><li>• Permanent</li></ul>	The Gedling Access Road needs to be developed to serve the proposed mixed use (housing and employment) redevelopment of the former Gedling Colliery site. This scheme and other schemes are listed under Policy LPD60 so this scores a minor positive.  Overall, there is a neutral effect in relation to the cumulative impact on economic structure, with the exception of Policy LPD60 which scores a major positive. It is considered that the effect would be long term and permanent.
	LPD58	0		
	LPD59	0		
	LPD60	+		
	LPD61	0		
<b>Recommendations:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• None.</li></ul>				
<b>Outcome:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No change.</li></ul>				